



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.aspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE 04/15/1999		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR THOMAS J. OLSON	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/292,265				25771	
23494	7590	07/30/2003			
		ENTS INCORPO	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 65 DALLAS, T	•		WONG, ALLEN C		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

2613
DATE MAILED: 07/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				$\overline{}$					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1					
•.	065	09/292,265	OLSON, THOMA	SJ.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Allen Wong	2613						
Perio	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap od for Reply	ppears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence a	ddress					
	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLETE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replet NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered tim 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	<i>May 2003</i> .							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.							
) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under osition of Claims			he merits is					
4) Claim(s) <u>1,3-6,9-12,15-17,22,25,27,29,40-43</u>	3,46-49,52-54 and 56	is/are pending in the applicati	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-6,9-12,15-17,22,25,27,29,40-43,46-49,52-54 and 56</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requireme	nt.						
Appl	ication Papers								
) The specification is objected to by the Examin								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) dbjected t	o by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
11) \square The proposed drawing correction filed on $__$			ner.					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		•						
) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.							
	rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	 Certified copies of the priority document 	nts have been receive	d.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been receive	d in Application No						
	Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2	?(a)).	ıl Stage					
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision	al application).					
15	 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	• •							
Attac	nment(s)								
2) 🔲	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N tice of Informal Patent Application (P er:						

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 22, 25 and 29 have been read and considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-6, 9-12, 15-17, 22, 25, 29, 40-43, 46-49 and 52-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seeley (6,069,655) and Gorr (5,961,571) in view of Williams (5,425,139).

Regarding claim 29, Seeley discloses an apparatus for monitoring an area, comprising:

a detector which is operative to periodically detect an image of the area (note in figure 2, there are numerous cameras 22 monitoring and detecting successive images; also in figure 7, element 22 obtains the successive images and sends them to image processor 30 where there image detection is done in element 34); and

an image processing section which is responsive to the detector and which is operative to (fig.2, element 30 is an image processor and that element 36 is responsive to the detecting section 34):

Art Unit: 2613

identify and track a moving object in a succession of the detected images (figure 7, element 36; note Seeley discloses the evaluation of images where the events and objects are identified, tracked, recognized and labeled; also note that Seeley teaches the ability to compare frames to determine whether or not there is an alarm condition as disclosed col.12, lines 22-29);

automatically select a single image of each identified object utilizing selection criteria (col.10, lines 19-31; Seeley discloses the selection of the identifying object information by using selection criteria, panning, tilting, or zooming into the identifying information in an event of interest; also note log or list of the saved identifying information is generated);

save the selected portion of the single image of the succession of detected images for each identified object (col.15, lines 24-30; Seeley discloses the selection of image information from the succession of detected images; further, Seeley discloses the storing or saving of the image information into picture buffer 40 of figure 7); and

automatically save information which identifies the path of movement of each moving object, and to retain the information after the moving object ceases to be present in current detected images (Seeley discloses the saving of information in fig.7, element 40 and also the retrieval of information can be done by operator or the computer residing at the central station CS).

Seeley does not disclose the limitation of "discard and not save detected images other than said single image of the succession of the detected images for each

Art Unit: 2613

identified object". However, Gorr teaches the deletion or the discarding of redundant or non-essential image information (col.3, lines 45-55; Gorr focuses on saving the most important target image information while deleting image information that is not within the specified ring area or the target image area). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the teachings of Seeley and Gorr, as a whole, for maximizing the storage capacity and unload any unnecessary image information. Doing so would improve lower the financial costs and permit efficient image processing in intrusion monitoring applications.

Although Seeley and Gorr does not specifically teach the use of a series of Cartesian coordinate pairs for identifying the object's movement path, however, Williams teaches the use of a series of Cartesian coordinate pairs for identifying the object's movement path (see fig.1 and 7, also see claim 1; Williams discloses that the object can be displayed on a Cartesian coordinate plane, where (0,0), (200,0), (0,200) and (200,200) are Cartesian coordinates that are the vertices of the Cartesian coordinate plane). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Seeley, Gorr and Williams for permitting the computation, identification, storage and display of the objects in the Cartesian coordinate plane so as to clearly identify the objects at their specific locations. Doing so would convey accurate, precise detailed description of the moving objects' trajectory for reporting intrusion scenes.

Note claims 1, 22 and 25 have similar corresponding elements.

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claims 3-6, 9-12 and 40-43 and 46-49, Seeley discloses the selection criteria to determine what kind of event is the intrusion (col.5, line 58 to col.6, line 2; note Seeley discloses that certain alarm conditions need to be met before indicating the presence of an intruder; col.6, lines 32-41, Seeley discloses saving of the time of intrusion and other historical data; col.11, line 42, Seeley discloses the image is continually or periodically updated). Also, Seeley discloses the selecting of an image that is larger than other images in a set of images (col.10, lines 19-31; note Seeley discloses that either the operator or the CAC, central alarm computer, can zoom in the camera on the desired object or scene of interest, and when an object is zoomed, a bounding box appears on the object or scene of interest). As stated above, Seeley does not disclose the discarding of images. However, Gorr teaches the deletion or the discarding of redundant or non-essential image information (col.3, lines 45-55; Gorr focuses on saving the most important target image information while deleting image information that is not within the specified ring area or the target image area). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the teachings of Seeley and Gorr, as a whole, for maximizing the storage capacity and unload any unnecessary image information. Doing so would economically decrease the financial costs and allow fast, accurate image processing in intrusion monitoring tasks.

Regarding claims 15 and 52, Seeley discloses the saving of the detected image that corresponds to a bounding box (figure 7, element 40; figures 13-14, element note elements 406a-406n and 506a-506n are video buffers; in col.10, lines 19-31, Seeley discloses the that either the operator or the CAC, central alarm computer, can zoom in

Art Unit: 2613

the camera on the desired object or scene of interest, and when an object is zoomed, a bounding box appears on the object or scene of interest).

Regarding claims 16, 17, 53 and 54, Seeley discloses the image resolution of the reference image saved at a first resolution and at a second resolution higher than the first (note in figure 8B, the reference image is saved at a first resolution, a thumbnail image with a lower resolution where as in figure 8A, the reference image is saved at higher resolution, at "full resolution"). Also, Seeley's figure 15, element 602 is a display device. Seeley discloses the display of the reference image at a higher resolution in figure 15, element 602c and the display of the reference image at a lower resolution in figure 15, element 602b, where Y is the thumbnail image selected for being separately viewed in 602c.

1. Claims 27 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seeley (6,069,655), Gorr (5,961,571) and Williams (5,425,139) in view of Baxter (5,966,074).

Regarding claims 27 and 56, Seeley discloses the storage of image information (figure 7, element 40; figures 13-14, element note elements 406a-406n and 506a-506n are video buffers) and the display of image information (figure 15, element 602). Seeley discloses that the CAC (central alarm computer) maintains a event log for each tour that contains information on when the cameras were used to track images, as disclosed in column 10, lines 28-31. Seeley discloses the display that can be used for displaying intrusion information (fig.15, element 602), like event labels, intrusion image, etc.

Seeley and Gorr do not specifically disclose the display of the path of movement of the

Art Unit: 2613

object or intruder. However, Baxter teaches the display of the trajectory or path of movement of the intruder (col.1, lines 43-49). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Seeley, Gorr and Baxter for permitting the display of the intruder's trajectory so as to accurately retrace the intruder's actions. Doing so would provide strong evidentiary support of the intruder's unlawful entry on the premises.

Conclusion

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen Wong whose telephone number is (703) 306-

5978. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Thursdays from 8am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Kelley can be reached on (703) 305-4856. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Allen Wong Examiner Art Unit 2613

AW July 24, 2003

CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600